

**CITY OF SANTA CLARITA
DOWNTOWN NEWHALL SPECIFIC PLAN
FINAL EIR, ERRATA**

Section 1: Housekeeping Edits

The following are insignificant edits which merely clarify text, listed by EIR Section:

Chapter 2.0. A number of edits were made which clarify impact significance, mitigation measures, and residual significance levels. None of the edits resulted in either a substantial new impact, or severity of impact. Please see Exhibit X.A, attached.

Chapter 5.5. See Section 2, below. Additional intersections were studied for impacts to level of service based on comments received from Caltrans. No new significant and unavoidable impacts were identified.

Chapter 5.6. The Air Quality Section found impacts related to toxic air contaminants potentially significant, then mitigated impacts through existing regulations. Impacts are hereby amended to be less than significant because of existing regulations (Class III Impact and Class III Residual).

Chapter 5.8. The Aesthetics Section found impacts associated with lighting less than significant (Class III), and then applied additional mitigation. The appropriate impact finding is therefore potentially significant (Class II), mitigated to a less than significant level (Class III).

Chapter 5.11. The Public Services Section includes two errors, regarding cumulative school and project-related fire service impact conclusions. Cumulative school impacts were found less than significant, no mitigation required. Consistent with project-specific impact conclusions, impacts should be potentially significant but mitigable, through payment of fees. Fire service impacts were found less than significant (Class III), then mitigation was included. The impact should therefore read potentially significant (Class II), but mitigable.

Section 2: Edits in Response to Comments

Two comment letters were addressed after the City Council hearing September 13th, 2005. These include a letter received from Caltrans August 5, 2005, and a letter received from the Los Angeles County Fire Department September 2, 2005. The comment letters and responses are attached. Errata/edits are outlined in the responses, and include the addition of two intersections to the traffic analysis, and minor clarifications in the public services section (fire). No new significant, unavoidable impacts are identified.